Microwave-assisted synthesis of novel amide based chiral tripodal receptors for recognition of cation and anion sensors

Sevil S. Azizoglu, Seref Kaplan, Gulsen Ozturk, Yilmaz Turgut* and Mahmut Togrul*

University of Dicle, Faculty of Science, Department of Chemistry, 21280, Diyarbakir, Turkey E-mail. <u>togrularya@gmail.com</u>; . <u>yturgut@dicle.edu.tr</u>

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/ark.5550190.p009.434

Abstract

Five novel amide based chiral tripodal receptors were synthesized under microwave irradiation by reacting nitrilotriacetic acid with chiral amino alcohols in a solvent-free medium with high yields ($\geq 90\%$). The recognition affinities of these tripodal receptors were investigated towards anions and chiral organic ammonium cations using ¹H NMR titration method. The results show that receptor **1** displays more affinity against anions compared with other receptors and the tripodal receptor **4** demonstrated a significant enantiomeric selectivity towards the (*R*)-naphthylethylammonium perchlorate (ERF 54%).

Keywords: Tripodal receptor, cation sensor, anion sensor, microwave and NMR titration

Introduction

Selective recognition of cations and anions by artificial receptors has been an attractive research area for their potentials in various applications such as biochemical and pharmaceutical studies, separation processes and sensing.¹⁻⁶ Multiple interactions between hosts and guests are necessary in developing an artificial receptor exhibiting selectivity towards a specific analyst. Tripodal based receptors have been used successfully in the ion-selective electrode membranes^{7,8a,b,9,10} and optical sensors.¹¹⁻¹⁴ They allow three arms as interacting sites for ligands. Molecular design allows controlling binding properties such as selectivity, stability and complexation. Selectivity of a tripodal receptor largely depends on cavity size and rigidity of the arms¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and therefore, they are known to have several advantages compared to monopodal and bipodal receptors: i) they usually bind metal ions very strongly due to increasing chelating influence, ii) bulkiness of tripodal ligands allows them to be more reactive towards metal ions. Because of the distinctive features of synthetic tripodal receptor system, their design and development is a very active field of study in the supramolecular chemistry.^{6,7,18,19} An amide functional group, which exists in the structure of many synthetic materials, is very important in the organic chemistry and biochemistry. Therefore, the

synthesis of compounds containing amide functionality is of interest in synthetic chemistry. The use of microwave technology in organic chemistry was widely investigated in the last decade and hence a great number of papers report that many chemical transformations can be carried out successfully by this technique.²⁰ It significantly reduces reaction time and thus provides high yield, less by-product formation as well as easier workup consistent with green chemistry, solvent free organic conversion, atom economy and selective reaction.^{21, 22-29} Therefore, the synthesis of tripodal receptors based on amide formation has been carried out by condensation of carboxylic acids and amines, employing microwave technique which allows direct conversion of carboxylic acids to amides.

This paper involves the synthesis of a series of novel tripodal receptors based on amides under microwave conditions and the evaluation of their molecular recognition abilities towards various anions (H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, C₆H₅CO₂⁻, CH₃CO₂⁻, F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻) and chiral organic ammonium cations using ¹H NMR titration methods.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

In this study, five amide-based tripodal receptors were synthesized under microwave irradiation (Scheme 1) and their binding affinities were examined against various anion salts of tetrabutylammonium (TBA) (H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, C₆H₅CO₂⁻, CH₃CO₂⁻, F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻) and chiral organic cation salts of ammonium by ¹H NMR titration method. The reason for employing anions as TBA salts was due to their higher solubility in organic solvents compared with that of their alkali metals salts. The structures of receptors were elucidated by IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, DEPT, 2D NMR (COSY, HMQC) and mass spectroscopy. The reactions were performed using microwave irradiation conditions under atmospheric pressure with 1000 W at 150°C, maximum 42 minutes (generally 2-3 drops of water was added). All amides were obtained with very high yields (\geq 90%) (Scheme 1) and products were purified without applying expensive methods such as chromatography.



R:Methyl- (1), isopropyl- (2), isobutyl- (3), benzyl- (4), phenyl- (5)

Scheme 1. Synthesis of chiral tripodal receptors.

Determination of Stoichiometry and Complexation Constant (Ka)

¹H NMR spectra were recorded for the changes in the chemical shifts of receptors at various concentrations of the ions. A representative example is illustrated in Figure 1 for the spectra of the receptor **1** with $H_2PO_4^-$ anion. It indicates that significant changes occur in the -CH hydrogens upon addition of the anion. A similar spectra were recorded for the complex of receptor **2** with (*S*)-phenylethylammonium as demonstrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. (B) Chemical shift in the ¹H NMR spectra of receptor **2** caused by addition of tetrabuthyl ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (0.2, 0.3, 0.7, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 fold) in the presence of constant receptor 2 ($1x10^{-3}$ M). (A) Expanded part of **B** spectra between δ 3.60 and 3.95 ppm.

Determination of the stoichiometry of complexation between a receptor and a ligand is crucial to determine the binding constants of the complexes in the molecular and enantiomeric recognition studies.³⁰ Although a variety of methods have been used for this purpose, Job Plots method has become the most frequent and routine one. A typical Job's Plot for the complex of tripodal receptor **2** with $H_2PO_4^-$ anion in DMSO- d_6 shows the maximum at a molar fraction of 0.5 (Figure 2), corresponding to a 1:1 ratio. Similar results were obtained for all tripodal receptors with anions.



Figure 2. Job's Plots for the complex of receptor 1 with $H_2PO_4^-$.

There are many methods reported for determination of complexation constants.^{31,32} They are mainly graphical methods and designed computer program packages. The binding constant between receptors and ions were calculated by ¹H NMR titration data using non-linear curve fitting approach. Two reprehensive data are presented in Figure 3 for the complexes of receptor 2 with tetrabutylammonium benzoate (left) and (*S*)-AM1 (right).



Figure 3. Chemical shifts with the -CH (asymmetrical methine hydrogen) in the tripodal receptors **2** against the addition of various concentrations (0-6 × 10⁻³ M) of tetrabutylammonium benzoate (left) and (*S*)-AM1 (right) in DMSO-*d*₆ at 25°C.

Anion Recognition Studies

We have previously reported the synthesis of tripodal receptors 1 and $2^{.33}$ The selectivity trends of binding affinity of anions for tripodal receptor 1 was in order of H₂PO₄ >C₆H₅CO₂ >HSO₄⁻ and for tripodal 2 the order was H₂PO₄ > C₆H₅CO₂ >ClO₄ >PF₆ >HSO₄ - in DMSO-*d*₆. The study clearly demonstrated that the spacer of tripodal-type receptor influences the recognition for anions.



Table 1. Binding constants (K_a , M⁻¹) of tripodal receptors **1-5** with various anions in DMSO- d_6 collected at 25 ± 0.1 ^oC

		$K_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{M}^{-1})^{stst}$				
Entry	Anion*	1	2	3	4	5
1	$H_2PO_4^-$	511.21±1.27	869.60±11.12	1074.73±7.92	1823.8±6.51	1161.21±0.14
2	HSO ₄ ⁻	nd	nd	nd	58.61 ± 0.02	nd
3	$C_6H_5CO_2^-$	1552.31±12.94	$1940.27{\pm}10.77$	1878.38±9.27	2242.13±8.44	1987.60±0.11
4	$CH_3CO_2^-$	1990.01 ± 5.77	388.03 ± 0.23	1401.32 ± 7.06	1926.44±7.94	1422.50±0.16
5	F ⁻	365.23±1.67	391.83±1.93	519.21±4.86	509.71±1.36	660.50 ± 0.55
6	Cl	nd	86.72 ± 0.08	44.71 ± 0.02	nd	$262.60{\pm}1.58$
7	Br⁻	64.31±0.05	nd	nd	247.7±0.12	nd

nd: Not determined; *Anions were used as tetrabutylammonium salts. ** K_a corresponds to association constants which were obtained from $1/K_{diss}$.

Binding constants obtained for the complexes of receptors with anions are given in Table 1. They indicated that tripodal receptors have a better affinity against $C_6H_5CO_2^-$ and $CH_3CO_2^-$ anions followed by $H_2PO_4^-$. However, it does not bind or weakly bind to HSO_4^- , Cl^- and Br^- anions. It is thought that hydrogen bonds would play a key role in the recognition of these receptors with anions (Scheme 2). The main hydrogen donors are expected to be amidic hydrogens while the OH may be also involved in the interactions. However, we could not provide an evidence to show the involvements of these hydrogen's in the complexation since the former are overlapped by aromatic hydrogens and the presence of water impurity hampers to observe the shifts in the OH hydrogens. The better affinity of the receptors (4 and 5) against benzoate or acetate may be associated with the

presence of further π - π or π -CH interactions. The interaction of 4 and 5 with benzoate is thought to involve π - π interactions and those with acetate via CH- π interactions since they bear aromatic ring on each arm as shown in Scheme 2. So, the reason for the better selectivity for benzoate over acetate may be attributed the favourable π - π interactions compared with CH- π interactions.

Tripodal receptors showed the highest affinity for fluoride compared with chloride and bromide. This may be attributed to the fact that hydrogen bonding is the main driving forces for the complexation between the receptors and anions and hence fluoride apparently is a better donor compared with chloride and bromide. A parallel trend was also previously reported for a structurally similar systems.³⁴⁻³⁶



Scheme 2. (left) A general model proposed for the recognition of anions ($X = H_2PO_4^-$, HSO₄⁻, C₆H₅CO₂⁻, CH₃CO₂⁻, ClO₄⁻, F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻) by receptors. (right) The possible explaination of the selectivity of benzoate by receptors.

Cation Recognition

The design of amide-based tripodals for a cation requires a receptor unit that selectively interacts with a cation. Owing to the special structure of their three flexible donor-atom-containing chains, tripodal receptors can form complexes with many organic ammonium cations and various anions.⁶ Enantiomeric recognition studies of amine or protonated amine salts are considerably important, because these molecules are basic building blocks of many biological materials. Since, the tripodal receptors are highly symmetric and relatively rigid due to amide moieties, they are used in the enantioselective discrimination of phenylethylammonium [(*R*)-AM1, (S)-AM1] and naphthylethylammonium [(R)-AM2 and (S)-AM2] salts, by ¹H NMR titration method. During titration, the signal of -CH hydrogen tripodal receptors shifted to downfield with addition of the cation molecules (Figure 4). However, the shifts were in high field for the complexes with anion molecules. The possibility of simple acid-base chemistry between receptors and cations was ruled out by shifts in ¹H NMR spectra. It would be expected to have significant shifts in -CH signals of both receptors and cations if the proton transfer occurs.

The binding constants between the receptors (1-5) and enantiomers are presented in Table 2.

Data indicate that receptors generally prefer to bind R enantiomers of salts, except that receptor 1 significantly prefers to bind S enantiomer of AM1 with ERF of 34 %. On the other hand, this receptor prefers to bind R enantiomer of AM2 with ERF of 36 %. A significant observation of this study is that receptor 4 show high discrimination against AM1 salts, which preferably bind to R enantiomer with ERF of 54 %.



Figure 4. Shifts in ¹H NMR spectra of Tripodal Receptor **2** with a constant concentration of 1×10^{-3} M by the addition of increasing (0.2, 0.3, 0.7, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 fold) (*S*) -AM1 (right); An expanded part of this spectrum between 3.0 and 4.9 ppm (left).

It is hard to postulate a rationale for the discrimination of AM1 and AM2 salts by receptor 1. However, it may be possible to propose that the methyl group in receptor 1 has a better orientation to interact with *S* enantiomer of AM1, but some show with *R* enantiomer of AM2. The lower discrimination observed for 2, 3 and 5 may be ascribed to the fact that isopropyl, isobutyl and phenyl group is too bulky to have favorable interactions with one of enantiomers of both salts. The phenyl group in receptor 4 is expected to be more flexible compared with one in 5 and therefore it is likely to provide a better binding site for the *R* enantiomer of AM1 via π - π interactions where this would be not possible with AM2, probably due to the larger naphthyl group.

Tripodal Decortor	Cation	<i>K</i> (M ⁻¹)	$K_{\rm R}/K_{\rm S}$	ERF ³⁷	
Receptor				%	
1	(<i>R</i>)-AM1	$242.81{\pm}1.52$	0.48	34 (S)	
	(S)-AM1	501.33±3.95			
	(<i>R</i>)-AM2	$643.92{\pm}5.03$	2.10	36 (R)	
	(S)-AM2	$305.91{\pm}1.30$			
2	(<i>R</i>)-AM1	107.24 ± 0.20	1.09	4 (R)	
	(S)-AM1	97.92 ± 0.40			
	(<i>R</i>)-AM2	100.11 ± 0.60	0.93	4 (S)	
	(S)-AM2	107.62 ± 0.41			
3	(<i>R</i>)-AM1	nd			
	(S)-AM1	$62.84{\pm}0.32$			
	(<i>R</i>)-AM2	126.91 ± 0.88	1.19	8 (R)	
	(S)-AM2	106.42 ± 0.85			
4	(<i>R</i>)-AM1	$243.97 {\pm} 0.61$	3.36	54 (R)	
	(S)-AM1	72.58 ± 0.25			
	(<i>R</i>)-AM2	43.10±0.07	0.86	8 (S)	
	(S)-AM2	49.93 ± 0.82			
5	(<i>R</i>)-AM1	nd			
	(S)-AM1	61.82±0.16			
	(<i>R</i>)-AM2	56.25±0.11	1.22	10 (R)	
	(S)-AM2	45.92±0.14			

Table 2. Enantiomeric recognition studies of tripodal receptors 1-5 towards organic ammonium salts in DMSO- d_6 collected at 25 ± 0.1 ^oC.

*AM1: PhenylethylammoniumperchlorateAM2: Naphthylethylammoniumperchlorate

Conclusions

The paper reports the synthesis of tripodal amides receptors under microwave irradiation and the measurements of their binding properties with anions and cations by ¹H NMR titration. The synthesis is too facile since it involves a direct reaction of amine with carboxylic acid with requirement for a coupling agent or catalyst. The binding results indicate that all tripodal receptors possess high affinity for dihydrogenphosphate, benzoate and acetate anions. Moreover, the results obtained regarding to these receptors indicated that they can discriminate between the enantiomers of AM1 and AM2 salts. The results demonstrate that electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, receptor cavity and rigidity, preorganization of binding sites of receptors and the nature of anions and cations play essential roles in the recognition process. The findings are relevant to understand

the biochemical processes in livings and also may be used to develop systems in material science such as sensors.

Experimental Section

General information. Melting points were determined with a GALLENKAMP Model apparatus with open capillaries. Infrared spectra were recorded on a MIDAC-FTIR Model 1700 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were obtained with CARLO-ERBA Model 1108 apparatus. Optical rotations were taken on a Perkin-Elmer 341 Model polarimeter. ¹H (400 MHz), ¹³C (100 MHz) and 2D NMR (DEPT, COSY, HMQC) spectra were recorded on a BRUKER AV400 NMR spectrometer in the indicated solvents. Chemical shifts are expressed in part per million (δ) using residual solvent protons as internal standards. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed on an autoflex III MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS system (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). The START labstation (Milestone labstation for microwave enhanced chemistry) was used for synthesis of chiral tripodal receptors.

Synthesis of the Tripodal Receptors

In order to improve efficiency and reduce waste production; the microwaves offer mild methods to prepare tripodal receptor **1-5** directly from non-activated carboxylic acids and amines in the absences of coupling reagent and solvents. The reaction conditions (temperature, time and power) for the synthesis of tripodal receptors were optimized under microwave condition. For each tripodal receptor; a power of 1000 W, time of 40 minutes, atmospheric pressure and 150 °C were found to be most suitable conditions for the highest conversion. Also, 2-3 drops of water is required to improve the conversion.

R. Time (min.)	Power (W)	T (°C) ^a	Yields (%)	
30	600	150 (52-54) ^a	n.r.	
40	800	150 (76-77) ^a	n.r.	
40	900	150 (83-84) ^a	n.r.	
40	800	150 (72) ^a	n.r. ^b	
40	900	150 (85) ^a	n.r ^b	

Table 3. Reaction of (S)-(-)-2-amino-3-methyl-1,1-diphenyl-1-butanol with nitrilotriacetic acid at
150 °C under microwave condition

20	1000	150 (106) ^a	60 ^b
30	1000	150 (107) ^a	85
40	1000	150 (107) ^a	92
50	100	150(107) ^a	91

^aMaximum internal temperatures; n.r.: no reaction. ^b2-3 drop of water was added the reaction medium

Tripodal Receptor 1. Nitrilotriacetic acid (76.4 mg, 0.4 mmol) and (270 mg, 1.2 mmol) (S)-2amino-1,1-diphenyl-1-propanol were activated by microwave irradiation. The crude product was washed with cold ether to obtain 1 as a white crystalline (305 mg, 93%). Mp.: 101-103 °C, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = + 8.3 (c 1.0, DMSO); MALDI-TOF MS: m/z 926.85 (M + Ag)⁺; IR (KBr) v 3394 (OH), 3263 (NH), 3059.3027 (Ar-H), 1636 (amide first band), 1534 (amide second band), ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 0.95 (d, 9H, *J* 6.4 Hz), 3.28 (s, 6H), 3.40-3.80 (bs, 6H, NH and OH overlap DMSOd₆ water, probably),4.25 (q, 3H, J 6.4Hz), 7.14-7.63 (m, 30H).¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 15.62 (CH₃), 52.55 (CH), 58.04 (CH₂), 78.77 (-C-), 125.88 (Ar-CH), 126.35 (Ar-CH), 126.84 (Ar-CH), 127.20 (Ar-CH), 128.44 (Ar-CH), 128.75 (Ar-CH), 145.79 (Ar-C), 145.97 (Ar-C), 169.92 (C = O). Anal.Calcd for C₅₁H₅₄N₄O₆: C, 74.80, N, 6.84, H, 6.65. Found: C, 74.77, N, 6.81, H, 6.68. Tripodal Receptor 2. Nitrilotriacetic acid (53.0 mg, 0.28 mmol) and (S)-(-)-2-amino-3-methyl-1,1-diphenyl-1-butanol (212 mg, 0.83 mmol) were activated by microwave irradiation. The crude product was obtained as white crystalline after washing with cold ether (230 mg, 92%).Mp.: 203- $205 \text{ °C}, [\alpha]_{D}^{27} = -4.2 (c 1.0, \text{MeOH}); \text{ IR (KBr) v } 3391 (\text{OH}), 3256 (\text{N-H}), 3059 (\text{Ar-H}), 1629 (\text{amide})$ first band), 1537 (amide second band),¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 0.89 (d, 9H, *J* 6.8 Hz), 0.92 (d, 9H, J 7.0 Hz), 1.65-1.76 (m, 3H), 3.31 (s, 6H), 3.7-3.90 (bs, 6H, NH and OH overlap DMSO-*d*₆ water, probably), 3.99 (m, 6H), 7.12-7.64 (m, 30H). ¹³C NMR (100MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 17.05 (CH₃), 23.08 (CH₃), 27.91 (CH), 58.54 (CH₂), 60.48 (CH), 80.92 (C), 125.74 (Ar-C), 126.44 (Ar-C), 126.51 (Ar-C), 126.81 (Ar-C), 128.36 (Ar-C), 128.57 (Ar-C), 146.62 (Ar-C), 147.63 (Ar-C), 128.57 (Ar-C), 147.63 (Ar-C) C), 173.78 (C=O). Anal.Calcd for C₅₇H₆₆N₄O₆: C, 75.80; N, 6.20; H, 7.37. Found: C, 75.75; N, 6.15; H, 7.42.

Tripodal Receptor 3. Nitrilotriacetic acid (50.0 mg, 0.26 mmol) and (210 mg, 0.78 mmol) (*S*)-(-)-2-amino-4-methyl-1,1-diphenyl-1-pentanol were activated by microwave irradiation. The crude product was washed with cold ether, to obtain **3** as a white crystalline (220 mg, 90%). Mp.: 229-230 °C, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -46.5$ (*c* 1.0, DMSO); IR (KBr) v 3394 (OH), 3258 (NH), 3059 (Ar-H), 2957 (RH), 1649 (amide first band), 1586 (amide second band). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 0.78 (d, 9H, *J* 6.4 Hz), 0.83 (d, 9H, *J* 6.0 Hz), 0.96-1.02 (m, 3H), 1.38-1.44 (m, 3H), 1.71-1.74 (m, 3H), 3.29 (s, 9H),3.40-3.80 (bs, 3H, NH or OH overlap DMSO-*d*₆ water, probably), 4.10 (d, 3H, *J* = 10.1), 7.15-7.64 (m, 30H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 21.69 (CH₃), 24.40 (CH), 24.43

(CH₃) , 39.15 (CH₂, from DEPT 135), 55.19 (CH), 58.22 (CH₂), 79.62 (-C-), 125.92 (Ar-CH), 126.49 (Ar-CH), 126.90 (Ar-CH), 127.21 (Ar-CH), 128.43 (Ar-CH), 128.76 (Ar-CH), 145.70 (Ar-C), 145.98 (Ar-C), 172.14 (C=O). Anal.Calcd for $C_{60}H_{72}N_4O_6$: C, 76.24, N, 5.93, H, 7.68. Found: C, 76.19, N, 5.95, H, 7.66.

Tripodal Receptor 4. Nitrilotriacetic acid (73.0 mg, 0.38 mmol) and (348 mg, 1.15 mmol) (*S*)-(-)-2-amino-1,1,3-triphenyl-1-propanol were activated by irradiation microwave. The crude product was dissolved in methanol to take it and the solvent was removed by a rotary evaporator. The resulting product was crystallized in mixture of ethyl acetate-methanol (2:1), which was then filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain 4 as a white crystalline (360 mg, 90%). Mp.: 205-208 °C, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.8$ (*c* 1.0, DMSO); MALDI-TOF MS: m/z 1069.98 (M + Na)⁺, IR (KBr) v 3388 (OH), 3280 (NH), 3061, 3029 (Ar-H), 1638 (amide first band), 1540 (amide second band), ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 2.42-2.63 (m, 6H), 3.32 (s, 6H), 3.60-4.1 (bs, 6H, NH and OH overlap DMSO-*d*₆ water, probably), 4.23 (m, 3H), 7.17-7.68 (m, 45H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 37.15 (CH₂), 58.26 (CH₂), 58.92 (CH), 79.95 (C), 105.00 (Ar-C), 125.94 (Ar-C), 126.50 (Ar-C), 126.78 (Ar-C), 126.92 (Ar-C), 128.53 (Ar-C), 128.62 (Ar-C), 128.66 (Ar-C), 129.62 (Ar-C), 140.00 (Ar-C), 146.32 (Ar-C), 146.70 (Ar-C), 174.19 (C=O). Anal.Calcd. for C₆₉H₆₆N₄O₆: C, 79.13, N, 5.35, H, 6.35. Found: C, 79.10, N, 5.38, H, 6.32.

Tripodal Receptor 5. Nitrilotriacetic acid (50.0 mg, 0.26 mmol) and (227 mg, 0.78 mmol) (*S*)-(-)-2-amino-1,1,2-triphenyletanol were activated by irradiation microwave. The crude product was washed with cold ether to obtain **5** as a white crystalline (225 mg, 90%). Mp.: 186-188 °C, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -157.5$ (*c* 1.0, DMSO); MALDI-TOF MS: *m* / *z* 1005 (M + H)⁺, IR (KBr) v 3388 (OH), 3237 (NH), 3060, 3031 (Ar-H), 1630 (amide first band), 1537 (amide second band).¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 3.31 (s, 6H), 3.70-4.70 (bs, 6H, NH and OH overlap DMSO-*d*₆ water, probably), 5.20 (s, 3H), 6.96-7.79 (m, 45H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 58.37 (CH₂), 61.11 (CH), 80.10 (-C-), 126.30 (Ar-CH), 126.38 (Ar-CH), 126.98 (Ar-CH), 127.14 (Ar-CH), 127.28 (Ar-CH), 127.46 (Ar-CH), 127.66 (Ar-CH), 128.60 (Ar-CH), 129.75 (Ar-CH), 140.19 (Ar-C), 145.51 (Ar-C), 146.68 (Ar-C), 171.21 (C = O). Anal.Calcd for C₆₆H₆₀N₄O₆: C, 78.87, N, 5.57, H, 6.02. Found: C, 78.81, N, 5.63, H, 6:04.

¹H NMR Titration

The dissociation constants (K_{diss}) between each host and guest were calculated from the change in δ values in host against increasing guest concentration in the presence of constant host concentration by non-linear least-squares fitting method³⁸ using Graph Pad Pris 4 pocket programme using Equation(4), derived from (1-3).

Host + Guest
$$\underbrace{k_1}_{k_{-1}}$$
 Host.Guest (1)

$$K_{\text{diss}} = \frac{k_{.1}}{k_1} = \frac{[\text{Host}].[\text{guest}]}{[\text{Host.Guest}]}$$
 (2)

 $[Guest]_{tot.} = [Guest]_{free} + [Guest]_{complex}$ (3)

$$\delta_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\delta_0 K_{\text{diss}} + \delta_{\text{max}} [\text{Guest}]_{\text{tot}})}{(K_{\text{diss}} + [\text{Guest}]_{\text{tot}})}$$
(4)

Where K_{diss} is the dissociation constant between a host and a guest, δ_0 is the chemical shift in specific hydrogen of a guest in the absence of a host, δ_{obs} is the observed chemical shift in the same hydrogen in the presence of a host and δ_{max} is the maximum chemical shift achieved in the presence of a host.

The concentration of tripodal receptors was kept constant at 1×10^{-3} M in DMSO- d_6 collected at 25 \pm 0.1 °C. The binding constants were calculated from the chemical shifts in the ¹H NMR peak of – CH (asymmetrical methine hydrogen) in the tripodal receptors against the addition of various concentrations (0-6 mM) of tetrabuthylammonium form of anions and chiral organic ammonium salts. The most regular change in these structures was observed in the -CH (asymmetrical methine) hydrogen since -NH signals of the amide group overlap with those of aromatic groups in this tripodal receptor. Therefore, the signal of –CH was monitored to determine complexation parameters for anions and cations with tripodal receptors.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) for financially supporting this research (Project No: 109 T 787). We are grateful to Dr. Necmettin Pirincioglu (Dicle University) for their invaluable contribution.

Supplementary Material Available

Detailed NMR, MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS and IR spectra are given in the Supplementary Data file.

References

 Diamond, D.; Nolan, K.*Anal. Chem.* 2001,73, 22A-29A http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ac012376g

- Ludwig, R.; Dzung, N.T.K. Sensors 2002, 2, 397-416. <u>http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/2/10/397</u>
- 3. Beer, P.D.; Gale, P.A.*Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.***2001**,*40*, 486-516. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11180358
- 4. Antonisse, M.M.G.; Reinhoudt, D.N. *Electroanalysis* **1999**, *11*, 1035-1048. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1521-4109(199910)
- 5. Antonisse, M.M.G.; Reinhoudt, D.N. *Chem. Commun.***1998**, 443-448. http://pubs.rsc.org/en/Content/ArticleLanding/1998/CC/a707529d#!divAbstract
- Kuswandi, B.; Nuriman, N/a.; Verboom, W.; Reinhoudt, D.N.Sensors 2006, 6, 978-1017. <u>http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/6/8/978</u>
- Reinoso-Garcia, M.M.; Dijkman, A.; Verboom, W.; Reinhoudt, D.N.; Malinoswka, E.; Wojciechowska, D.; Pietrzak, M.; Selucky, P. *Eur. J. Org.Chem.* 2005, 10,2131-2138. <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ejoc.200500002/abstract</u>
- (a) Kim,Y. K.; Ha, J.; Cha, G.S.; Ahn, K.H. *Korean Chem. Soc.* 2002, *23*, 1420-1424. http://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/ArticleFullRecord.jsp?cn=JCGMCS_2002_v23n10_14
 <u>20</u> (b) Kim, S.G.; Kim, K.H.; Jung, J.; Shin, S.K.; Ahn, K.H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, *124*, 591-596. <u>http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/ja0119696</u>
- 9. Sasaki, S.; Ozawa, S.; Citterio, D.; Iwasawa, N.; Suzuki, K. Anal. Sci. 2001, 17, 1659-1661. https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/analscisp/17icas/0/17icas_0_i1659/_article
- Reinoso-Garcia, M.M.; Janczewski, D.; Reinhoudt, D.N.; Verboom, W., Malinoswka, E.; Pietrzak, M.; Hill, D.; Baca, J.; Gruner, B.; Selucky, P.; Gruttner, C. New J. Chem. 2006, 30, 1480-1492.

http://pubs.rsc.org/en/Content/ArticleLanding/2006/NJ/b600412a#!divAbstract

- 11. Schmuck, C.; Schwegmann, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 836-838. http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/2006/ob/b516019g?page=search
- Wei, L.H.; He, Y.B.; Wu, J.L.; Qin, H.J.; Xu, K.X.; Meng, L.Z. Chin. J. Chem. 2005, 23, 608-612. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cjoc.200590608/abstract

13. Niikura, K., Bisson, A.P.; Anslyn, E.V.J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. **1999**, *2*, 1111-1114. http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/1999/p2/a901180c#!divAbstract

- 14. Wiskur, S.L.; Anslyn, E.V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10109-10110. http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ja011800s
- 15. Sato, K.; Arai, S.; Yamagishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 5219-5222. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040403999009429
- Ballester, P.; Costa, A.; Deyâ, P.M.; Vega, M.; Morey, J.; Deslongchamps, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999,40, 171-174. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040403998800506
- 17. Fan, A.L.; Hong, H.K., Valiyaveettil, S.; Vittal, J.J. J. Supramol. Chem. 2002, 2, 247-254. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1472786203000790

- 18. Arunachalam M.; Ghosh, P.*Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12(2)*, 328-331. http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/o19026649
- 19. Berrocal, M.J.; Cruz, A., Badr, I.H.A.; Bachas, L.G. Anal. Chem. 2000, 72, 5295-5299. http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ac000241p
- 20. Khalafi-Nezhad, A.; Mokhtari, B.; Rad, M.N.S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 7325-7328. <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040403903018665</u>
- 21. Ozturk, G.; Çolak, M.; Toğrul, M. J. of Incl. Phenom. And Macrocyc. Chem. 2010, 68(1), 49-54.

http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10847-009-9731-6

- 22. Varma, R.S. *Green Chem.* **1999**, *1*, 43-55. http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlehtml/1999/gc/a808223e
- Loupy, A.; Petit, A.; Hamelin, J.; Texier-Boullet, F.; Jacquault, P.; Mathe, D. Synthesis 1998, 1213-1234. https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/abstract/10.1055/s-1998-6083.
- 24. Loupy, A.: Microwaves in organic synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002. http://microwave.icpf.cas.cz/publications/MP-2006.pdf
- 25. Perreux, L.; Loupy, A.; Volatran, F. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2155-2162. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040402002000856
- 26. Perreux, L.; Loupy, A.; Delmotte, M. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2185-2189. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040402003001510.
- 27. Gelens, E.; Smeets, L.; Sliedregt, L.A.J.M.; van Steen, B.J.; Kruse, C.G.; Leurs, R.; Orru, R.V.A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 3751-3754. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040403905006660
- 28. de la Hoz, A.; Diaz-Ortiz, A.; Moreno, A.*Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2005**, *34*, 164-168. http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/cs/2005/b411438h#!divAbstract
- 29. Ferroud, D.; Godart, M.; Ung, S.; Borderies, H.; Guy, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 3004-3008.

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040403908004292

- 30. Job, P.Ann. Chim. **1928**,9, 113-203. http://www.sciepub.com/reference/42964
- 31. Connors, K.A. Binding Constants; Wiley:New York,1987. <u>http://www.amazon.com/Binding-Constants-Measurement-Molecular-Stability/dp/0471830836</u>
- 32. Fielding, L. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 6151-6170. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040402000004920
- 33. Ozturk, G.; Colak, M.; Togrul, M. J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem. 2010, 68, 49-54. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10847-009-9731-6
- 34. Pandian, S.; Kang *J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2014**, 35, 2025-2028. <u>https://scholar.google.com.tr/scholar?q=Bull.+Korean+Chem.+Soc.+2014%2C+35%2C+202</u> 5-2028&btnG=&hl=tr&as sdt=0%2C5

35. Amendola, V.; Esteban-Goä Mez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 343-353.

http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ar0501951.

- 36. Wei, L. H.; He, Y. B.; Wu, J.L.; Qin, H.J.; Xu, K. X.; Meng, L. Z. Chin. J. Chem. 2005, 23, 608-612. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cjoc.200590608/abstract
- 37. Sunkur, M.; Baris, D.; Hosgoren, H.; Togrul, M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2570-2575. http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jo702210c
- 38. Macomber. R.S. J. Chem. Educ. **1992**, 69(5), 375-382. http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ed069p375.