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#### Abstract

A concise and novel approach for the synthesis of ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropanes by dehydroxylation of corresponding ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-phenyl-1-alkyl-2-methylamino-propan-1-ols, which were prepared by the asymmetric induction through Grignard reaction of optically active $\alpha$-aminoketones. These efficient preparations resulted in compounds with very good yields and high diastereoselectivity. The stereochemistry involved in the synthesis was described with justification on absolute configuration of the compounds.
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## Introduction

Chiral drugs have become progressively more popular in recent years and the market is rapidly growing for this segment of drugs. These compounds now represent almost one-third of all drug sales worldwide due to which there is a spurt in demand for chiral building blocks, key intermediates and chemicals. Though there are many ways to prepare chiral compounds, the best would be stereoselective synthesis, as it minimizes the formation of an unwanted isomer. Stereoselective synthesis of several such chiral compounds was attempted in this work. Derivatives of ephedrine available commercially in the market exhibit psycostimulant activity, to name a few, 1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane 2, ${ }^{1,2}$ methylenedioxymethamphetamine ${ }^{3}$ (MDMA) 3, MDEA ${ }^{4}$ 4, benzamphetamine 5, etc. Earlier studies revealed that substitution of an alkyl or aryl group at the benzylic carbon of ephedrine increases the pharmacological activity with
greatly reduced toxicity. ${ }^{5}$ Further, derivatives of $\alpha$-aminopropane with propargyl group substitution on nitrogen (Selegiline 6) is extensively useful in the early stage of Parkinson's disease. Likewise Famprofazone $7,{ }^{7}$ a non steroidal anti-inflammatory agent (NSAID) is also a prodrug of 1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane structure. Another new generation analgesic belonging to the family of $\alpha$-alkylated aminopropanes is ( $1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} R$ )-3-(3-dimethylamino- $1^{\prime}$-ethyl-$2^{\prime}$-methylpropyl)phenol (8) hydrochloride (Tapentadol hydrochloride). ${ }^{8-10}$
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## Results and Discussion

Recognizing the vast potential for chiral active pharmaceutical ingredients, we report here the diastereoselective synthesis of 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropanes ( $\alpha$-alkylated methamphetamine) $\mathbf{1}$ from ephedrine via the intermediacy of corresponding $\alpha$-alkylephedrines $\mathbf{9}$ as illustrated in Scheme 1.
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The absolute configuration of the dehydroxylated carbon was arrived by chemical analogy as well as X-ray diffraction studies. Various 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropanes were prepared from the corresponding amino alcohols as shown in Scheme 1 and tabulated in Table 1.

dr:> 99\%; ee: $100 \%$; Yield: >80\%
Scheme 1. Schematic representation of preparation of $\alpha$-alkylated methamphetamines.

Table 1. 1-Alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropanes (1) and the precursor 1,2-aminoalcohols (11)
Entry

The starting compounds, $\alpha$-alkylated-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochlorides 11a-g for the study of dehydroxylation were prepared by the Grignard reaction of alkyl magnesium halides with $(R)-(+)$-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-one 10 (Scheme 2). ${ }^{11,12}$


Scheme 2. Optically active 1-alkylated 1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 11a-g from ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-2-methylaminophenylpropan-1-ol (9).

The amino-ketone with known configuration at adjacent carbon was synthesized by the acidcatalyzed oxidation of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 9. ${ }^{13}$

As illustrated in Scheme 3, the hydrogen bonding between the hydrogen of the amino function with carbonyl carbon through five-membered cyclic transition state which freezes the conformation of the ketone and facilitates the approach of alkyl anion of the Grignard reagent from the least hindered site (Cram's rule), leads to formation of the single diastereomer ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-$\alpha$-alkylated-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochlorides 11a-g with high optical purity (ee: 100\%; dr: >99\%)


Scheme 3. Schematic representation of the formation of a single diastereomer via a fivemembered transition state.

The absolute configuration of $\alpha$-alkylated-1,2-aminoalcohol 11a-g is that predicted with the help of Cram's rule and molecular models, which is confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction study. The ORTEP diagram of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-(2-phenylethyl)-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride (11g) is shown in Figure 1. ${ }^{21}$


Figure 1. ORTEP representation of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-(2-phenylethyl)-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride (11g).

According to the envisaged strategy, $\alpha$-alkyl-1-chloro-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochlorides 13a-g were synthesized by chlorinating the $\alpha$-alkylated-1-phenyl-2-methylamino-propan-1-ol hydrochlorides 11a-g using thionyl chloride. As a well-known fact, in the presence of amino functionality, chlorination of alcohols proceeds through $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism ${ }^{14}$ rather than $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N} i}$ mechanism. Thus, chlorination of (1S,2R)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride 11a-g at $\mathrm{C}-1$ is expected to undergo via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism resulting in inversion of configuration at the reaction center to obtain corresponding ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-chloro-1-phenyl-2methylaminopropane hydrochloride 13a-g (Table 2).

The possible formation of aziridinium ion ${ }^{15-17}$ by intramolecular rearrangement of amino chloride is inhibited as the chlorination is performed with the quaternary salt of the 1,2aminoalcohol where the lone pair on nitrogen is not available for the cyclization to yield an aziridinium ion. Further, catalytic hydrodehalogenation of the chloro intermediates brings about another inversion, ${ }^{18}$ leading to overall retention of configuration at C-1 (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4. Schematic representation of 1-alkylated 1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane formation via the chloro intermediates $\mathbf{1 3}$.

Table 2. Physical properties of $\alpha$-alkyl-1-chloro-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochlorides synthesized in the present work

| Amino alcohol |  | 1-Alkyl-1-chloro-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | R-Group (config) | Entry | Yield (\%) | Config. | $\begin{gathered} \hline[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25} \\ (1 \% \text { in } \\ \left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Purity <br> (\%) |
| 11a | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ethyl } \\ (1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 R) \end{gathered}$ | 13a | 78.2 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | $+15.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.27 |
| 11b | $\begin{aligned} & n \text {-Butyl } \\ & (1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 R) \end{aligned}$ | 13b | 82.3 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | $+2.1^{\circ}$ | 97.65 |
| 11c | Isopropyl <br> (1S,2R) | 13c | 76.8 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | $+33.5^{\circ}$ | 98.95 |
| 11d | Cyclopentyl (1S,2R) | 13d | 85.2 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | $+12.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.57 |
| 11e | Cyclohexyl (1S,2R) | 13 e | 85.4 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | +27.9 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 99.04 |
| 11 f | Benzyl $(1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 R)$ | 13 f | 88.7 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | $-86.5^{\circ}$ | 98.19 |
| 11 g | Phenylethyl $(1 S, 2 R)$ | 13g | 89.2 | $(1 R, 2 R)$ | $+44.4^{\circ}$ | 98.31 |
| 14c | Isopropyl <br> $(1 R, 2 S)$ | 15c | 80.1 | $(1 S, 2 S)$ | $-33.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.71 |
| 14e | Cyclohexyl $(1 R, 2 S)$ | 15e | 87.2 | $(1 S, 2 S)$ | $-28.1{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.46 |

The absolute configuration of the product at $\mathrm{C}-1$ is inferred as ' $R$ ' by the application of Cahn-Ingold-Prelog (CIP) priority rules ${ }^{19}$ and from the molecular models and by chemical analogy. A range of 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochlorides prepared in the present work is furnished in Table 3 along with their physical properties, diasteromeric ratio and ee.

Similarly ( $1 S, 2 S$ )-1-isopropyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane 16c, $(1 S, 2 S)$-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ were synthesized from the respective $(1 R, 2 S)$ - $\alpha$-alkylated aminoalcohol 14c\&e via the chloro intermediacy 15c\&e using similar method. The optical rotation, HPLC purity and Ee along with their retention times are correlated with their corresponding $(1 R, 2 R)$ products in Table 3.

A second major area of work involves the study of stereochemical aspects at C-1 by carrying out the dehydroxylation of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 11a-g by diverse routes This was envisaged by inhibiting the inversion in the first step by transforming the OH group of 1,2-aminoalcohol to trifluoroacetyl (Scheme-5) and subsequent hydrogenation.


Scheme 5. Dehydroxylation by trifluoracetate derivative of amino alcohol leading to diastereomer of the title compounds.

Table 3. Physical properties of 1-Alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride synthesized

| Amino Chloride |  | 1-Alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | R-Group (config) | Entry | Yield (\%) | Config. | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}} \\ & \left(1 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Dr (\%) | ee (\%) |
| 13a | Ethyl $(1 R, 2 R)$ | 1a | 80.9 | (1R,2R) | $+3.2^{\circ}$ | 99.1:0.9 | 100 |
| 13b | n-Butyl $(1 R, 2 R)$ | 1b | 82.7 | (1R,2R) | $-4.14{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.2:0.8 | 100 |
| 13c | Isoproyl $(1 R, 2 R)$ | 1c | 86.4 | (1R,2R) | +19.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 99.3: 0.7 | 100 |
| 13d | Cyclopentyl <br> (1R,2R) | 1d | 83.2 | (1R,2R) | +9.2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 99.2:0.7 | 100 |
| 13e | Cyclohexyl <br> (1R,2R) | 1e | 85.7 | (1R,2R) | $+18.6^{\circ}$ | 99.1:0.89 | 100 |
| 13 f | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benzyl } \\ & (1 \mathrm{R}, 2 \mathrm{R}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 f | 88.4 | (1R,2R) | $-56.3^{\circ}$ | 99.2:0.8 | 100 |
| 13g | Phenylethyl (1R,2R) | 1 g | 86.2 | (1R,2R) | $-10.7^{\circ}$ | 99.1:0.9 | 100 |
| 15c | Isopropyl $(1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 \mathrm{~S})$ | 16c | 88.0 | (1S,2S) | $-20.6^{\circ}$ | 99.0 : 1.0 | 100 |
| 15e | Cyclohexyl $(1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 \mathrm{~S})$ | 16e | 84.7 | (1S,2S) | $-17.9^{\circ}$ | 99.0 : 1.0 | 100 |

Thus obtained, 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochlorides are compared with the compounds obtained via the chloro intermediates (Scheme-4). Accordingly, for the study, we synthesized (1S,2R)-1-isopropyl-2-(methylamino)-1-phenylpropyltrifluoroacetate 17c and (1S,2R)-1-cyclohexyl-2-(methylamino)-1-phenylpropyltrifluoroacetate $\mathbf{1 7 e}$ from the corresponding 1,2-aminoalcohol, viz. ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-isopropyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 11c and ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 11e by reaction with trifluoro-
acetic anhydride (Scheme 5) in THF. Further, hydrogenolysis of the trifluoroacetoxy compounds 17c and 17e intermediate using palladium on carbon yielded ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-isopropyl-1-phenyl-2methylaminopropane $\mathbf{1 \mathbf { c } ^ { \prime }}$ and (1S,2R)-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane $\quad \mathbf{1 e}^{\prime}$ respectively, both isolated as hydrochlorides. In this sequence of reactions, the trifluoroacetylation reaction proceeded with retention of configuration and reduction resulted in inversion and hence overall configuration at C-1 is inferred as " $S$ " by the application of CIP rule.

Again, $(1 S, 2 R)$-1-isopropyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride 11c on Walden inversion at $\mathrm{C}-1$ by known procedures ${ }^{20}$ afforded ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-isopropyl-1-phenyl-2-(methylamino)propan-1-ol hydrochloride 18c which was derivatized using trifluoroacetic anhydride to obtain ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-isopropyl-2-(methylamino)-1-phenylpropyltrifluoroacetate 19c (Scheme 6) with retention of configuration as described above. The hydrogenation of the trifluoroacetate derivative with palladium on carbon gave ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-isopropyl-1-phenyl-2methylaminopropane hydrochloride 1c.


Scheme 6. Synthesis of ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-(methylamino)propane hydrochloride through double stereoinversions.

Similar reactions are repeated with $(1 S, 2 R)$-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1ol hydrochloride 11e to obtain the respective compound ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-2methylaminopropane hydrochloride 18e. It is to be noted (Scheme 7 and Table 4) that absolute configuration at C-1 for the products 1c obtained in two different routes Scheme 6 and Scheme 4 is similar but differed for the product $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{c}^{\prime}$. Similar observation was noted for the compounds $\mathbf{1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$. This study confirms the absolute configuration of the dehydroxylated product in the present work to be $R$ at $\mathrm{C}-1$.

This is in agreement with the proposed configuration of diastereomer during dehydroxylation of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride. It can be noticed that that the Scheme 7, Table 4 compounds synthesized 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropanes from Scheme 4 and 5 having diastereomeric relationship. Whereas compound obtained from Scheme 4 and 6 are having same absolute configuration.

Table 4. Comparison of physical properties of diasteromeric 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-aminopropane hydrochlorides

| Scheme | Compound No. | Configuration | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}$ | Diastereomeric <br> purity $(\%)$ | RT <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheme 4 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $1 R, 2 R$ | +19.1 | 99.34 | 12.3 |
| Scheme 5 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $1 S, 2 R$ | -10.1 | 99.3 | 15.1 |
| Scheme 6 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $1 R, 2 R$ | +19.9 | 99.0 | 12.4 |
| Scheme 4 | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | $1 R, 2 R$ | +18.6 | 99.1 | 10.1 |
| Scheme 5 | $\mathbf{1 e} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ | $1 S, 2 R$ | -8.8 | 99.2 | 9.0 |
| Scheme 6 | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | $1 R, 2 R$ | +18.1 | 99.0 | 10.2 |



Scheme-7. Overview of the synthesis of 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane by diverse routes.

Moreover, the tentative assignment of configuration at $\mathrm{C}-1$ was eventually corroborated by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Presented in Figure 2 is the ORTEP view of the
compound ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride (1e) which confirms the assigned configuration. ${ }^{21}$

The exceptional selectivity was observed during dehydroxylation of $(1 S, 2 R)$ - $\alpha$-alkylated-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochlorides 11a-g at the benzylic carbon. In either ways i.e via chloro or triflluoro intermediacy, the exclusive formation of single diasteroisomer is due to the potential displacement of leaving group as nucleophile, which intern, depends on state of hybridization of benzyl carbon, and the electronic interaction on chemisorption through phenyl residue.

The same series of compounds $\mathbf{1 1 a - g}$, when hydrogenated directly, resulted in products $\mathbf{1 a - g}$ with partial racemisation. As an example, compound 11a is hydrogenated in acidic medium ${ }^{22}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of palladium on carbon for 16hrs. The compound obtained 1a, was analysed by HPLC, showed mixture of diastereomers in the ratio of $86: 13$.


Figure 2. X-ray structure of (1R,2R)-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl 2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride 1e (ORTEP view).

## Conclusions

We have demonstrated diasteroselective synthesis of substituted methamphetamine series from $\alpha$-alkylated-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochlorides. The process adopted in the synthesis is very useful to obtain desired enantiomer with excellent diasteroselectivity in good yield and tolerate broad range of substrates. Various stereochemical aspects involved in the synthesis are discussed to arrive at the absolute configuration and are justified by synthesizing
the diasteromers by diverse routes. The absolute configuration of intermediate amino alcohol and of alkyl aminopropane was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The success of this synthetic method opens up new perspectives in the construction of biological and pharmaceutically active candidates.

## Experimental Section

General. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance 400 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance $400 \mathrm{MHz} / 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Chemical shifts ( ppm ) were recorded with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference standard. Multiplicities were given as: $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), dd (doublet of doublet), $q$ (quartet), bs (broad spectrum) or m (multiplet). IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IR-460 FT-IR spectrometer and only major bands are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Melting points were determined on a microscopic apparatus Veego (VMP-PM). All the compounds were further characterized by Mass spectrum (ESI). The X-ray diffraction measurements are carried out on a Bruker AXS Kappa APEX 2 CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatic. Elemental analysis for all the compounds synthesized was carried out at Ashko Laboratories Ltd. Hyderabad. All the reagents used are commercial grade without further purification. ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-Phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride and ( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride were obtained from Malladi Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Chennai.

## General Procedures

Preparation of (1S,2R)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride and (1R,2S)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride. Prepared by the reported procedure ${ }^{12}$ from ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride and ( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride.
General method for the preparation of (1R,2R)-1-chloro-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylamino-propan-1-ol hydrochloride (13a-g). Thionyl chloride ( 0.16 mol ) was slowly added to a solution of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride ( $\mathbf{1 1 a - g}, 0.08 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in chloroform ( 50 mL ) at $50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 2 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was then stirred at $50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 2 h . Solvent and excess thionyl chloride was removed under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was triturated with acetone to afford $(1 R, 2 R)-1-$ chloro-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride as a white crystalline solid.
Preparation of ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochlorides (1a-g). ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-Chloro-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol hydrochloride 13a-g ( 0.055 mol ) was placed in a round bottomed flask under nitrogen atmosphere and methanol 100 mL was added. The resulting solution was hydrogenated at room temperature for 2 hours at 2.0 bar hydrogen pressure in the presence $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 1.0 g ). The catalyst was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water 50
mL and washed with toluene ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the syrupy mass was crystallized using isopropyl alcohol to afford (1R,2R)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylamino propane hydrochloride 1a-g as white crystalline solid.
Similarly compounds 16c and 16e were prepared starting from corresponding chloro derivatives 15 c and 15 e which were prepared from 1,2-aminoalcohols 14 c and 14 e
(1R,2R)-(+)-1-Ethyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride (1a). (9.5g, 80.9\%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+3.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; mp 204-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.11 \%$ ( Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \mathrm{pH} 3.0$ with orthophosphoric acid and $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 12.17 \mathrm{~min}(99.11 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 11.14 \min (0.85 \%)$. The $e e$ was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak ADH, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2720, 1603, 1472, 1352, 756, 698; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right) 0.63-$ $0.66\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.2, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.80-0.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.4, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98-0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.4, \mathrm{CH}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.61-1.91\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-\underline{C H}_{3}\right), 2.92-2.97\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.37-3.40 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.25-7.37\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 8.98\left(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 12.76\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.82(\mathrm{NH}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.94\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 57.66\left(\mathrm{CH}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 126.94-139.32$ (aromatic carbons). Mass spectrum (ESI, (+)-mode ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $m / z 178$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{C}, 67.43$; H, 9.43; N, 6.59. Found: C, 67.22; H, 9.45; N, 6.53.
(1R,2R)-(-)-1-(1-Butyl)-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride (1b). (11.0g, $82.7 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-4.14^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 128-129{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.24 \%$ ( Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric and $\left.5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 8.67 \mathrm{~min}(99.24 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 7.95 \mathrm{~min}(0.74 \%)$. The ee was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak AD-H, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2735,1585,1466,1355,754,700 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.6,400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ 7.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 0.98-0.99 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.13-1.29 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.61.1.85 ( 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.04-3.09\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.33-3.40(3 \mathrm{H}$, m, CH-CH-CH ${ }_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.25-7.36 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Harom), 8.50-9.60 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$). ${ }^{13}{ }^{13}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.79\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.06$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.86\left(\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.12\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 47.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 57.86$ ( $\mathrm{C} H-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 126.91-139.54 (aromatic carbons). Mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{ESI},(+)$-mode ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 206$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{C}, 69.54$; H, 10.0 and N, 5.79. Found: C, 69.45 ; H,9.98; N, 5.77 \%.
(1R,2R)-(+)-1-Isopropyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride (1c). (10.8 g, $86.4 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+19.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 205-207{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol). The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.34 \%$ (Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid and $\left.5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 12.28 \mathrm{~min}(99.34 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 14.16 \mathrm{~min}(0.58 \%)$. The ee was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak AD-H, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210$
$\mathrm{nm})$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ):2712, 1601, 1476, 1337, 746, 700;. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.6,400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ $0.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8, \mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.13-2.21 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.47\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.92-2.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \underline{H}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.57\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.27-7.37\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 8.09$ and 9.60 (two bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\right) 11.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.36\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{3}\right)$, $27.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.34\left(\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.25\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.09\left(\mathrm{CH}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 126.97-$ 137.40 (aromatic carbons). Mass spectrum (ESI, (+)-mode) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 192$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl}$ : C, 68.55 ; H, 9.74 ; N, 6.15 Found: C, 68.38 ; H,9.77; N, $6.13 \%$.
(1R,2R)-(+)-1-Cyclopentyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride (1d). (11.6 g, $83.2 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+9.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 201-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.25 \%$ ( Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid and $\left.5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 13.78 \mathrm{~min}(99.25 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 12.81 \mathrm{~min}(0.72 \%)$.The ee was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak AD-H, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210$ nm ). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ):2729, 1595, 1350, 1456, 1351, 754, 704; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) 0.81-0.84 ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{a}} \underline{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.18-$ $1.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.34-1.55\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1.63-1.85 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.27-2.38\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.45$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.09-3.13\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}\right.$ of d, $\left.J_{1} 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2} 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.28-7.37 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 7.80 and 9.50 (two bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 10.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.13\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.67\left(\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 51.89 \quad\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 56.80 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 126.95-137.98$ (aromatic carbons). Mass spectrum (ESI, (+)-mode) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 218$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{C}, 70.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.53$; N, 5.52 Found: C, $70.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.51$; N, $5.54 \%$.
$\mathbf{( 1 R , 2 R ) - ( + ) - 1 - C y c l o h e x y l - 1 - p h e n y l - 2 - m e t h y l a m i n o p r o p a n e ~ h y d r o c h l o r i d e ~ ( 1 e ) . ~ ( 1 2 . 2 ~ g , ~}$ $85.7 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=+18.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.11 \%$ ( Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid and $\left.5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 10.14 \mathrm{~min}(99.11 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 9.26 \mathrm{~min}(0.84 \%)$.The ee was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak AD-H, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210$ nm ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2687, 1593, 1377, 1470, 756, 706: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ $0.61-0.83\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.07-1.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.14-$ $1.38\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.50-1.85\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.47-2.51 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.00-3.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \underline{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.37-3.59 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.28-7.36\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 8.00$ and 9.40 (two bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.20\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $25.50\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.39\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.60\left(\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.12\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 54.40\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 126.94-137.38 (aromatic
carbons). Mass spectrum (ESI, (+)-mode) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 232$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl}$ : C, 71.75 ; H, 9.78 ; N, 5.23 Found: C, 71.54 ; H, 9.75; N, $5.21 \%$.
(1R,2R)-(-)-1-Benzyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride (1f). (13.4 g, 88.4\%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-56.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 227-228{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.23 \%$ ( Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \mathrm{pH}$ 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid and $\left.5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 7.54 \mathrm{~min}(99.23 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 8.64 \mathrm{~min}(0.76 \%)$. The ee was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak AD-H, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2691,1593,1335,1454,745,698 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right) 1.06-1.07$, $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.51-2.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.27-3.31\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.43-3.52 (3H, m, CH-CH-CH $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.04-7.35 (10H, m, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 9.13 and 9.14 (two bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\right) 12.58\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.10\left(\mathrm{NH}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $37.61\left(\underline{C H}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 48.80\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 57.61\left(\mathrm{CH}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 125.80-139.27$ (aromatic carbons). Mass spectrum (ESI, (+)-mode) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 240$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl},: \mathrm{C}, 74.03, \mathrm{H}, 8.04$, N, 5.08 Found: C, 74.13; H, 7.91; N, $5.03 \%$.
$\mathbf{( 1 R , 2 R}) \mathbf{- ( - ) - 1 - ( 2 - P h e n y l e t h y l ) - 1 - p h e n y l - 2 - m e t h y l a m i n o p r o p a n e ~ h y d r o c h l o r i d e ~ ( 1 g ) . ~ ( 1 3 . 7 ~ g , ~}$ $86.2 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-10.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 162-164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); The diastereomeric purity was determined by HPLC to be $99.10 \%$ (Inertsil Phenyl $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 95 \%$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid and $\left.5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(1 R, 2 R) 6.95 \mathrm{~min}(99.10 \%)$ and $(1 S, 2 R) 7.96 m i n(0.64 \%)$. The ee was determined by CSP HPLC to be $100 \%$ (Chiralpak AD-H, $98 \%$ n-hexane, $1 \%$ 2-propanol, $1 \%$ absolute ethanol, $0.1 \%$ diethylamine, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2727,1603,1454,1333,762,735,706,694 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ $1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.95-2.37\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.49\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.07\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.11-7.42\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 8.60$ and 9.30 (two bs, 2H, NH2 ${ }^{+}$). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.76\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 32.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 33.25\left(\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 47.03\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 57.77\left(\mathrm{CH}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $125.76-141.40$ (aromatic carbons). Mass spectrum (ESI, (+)-mode) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 254$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{HCl}:$ : C, $74.59, \mathrm{H}, 8.35$, N, 4.83 Found: C, $74.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.33 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.81 \%$.
General method for the preparation (1S,2R)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride $1 \mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ and $1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ via Scheme 5. To a solution of ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 11c or 11e ( 0.08 mol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) was added trifluoroacetic anhydride ( 0.24 mol ) at $40-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min . The mixture was maintained at same temperature for 30 min . The excess of trifluoroacetic anhydride was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-1-yl-2-methylaminopropyl trifluoroacetate $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ or 17b as a pale yellow syrupy mass. This mass was further dissolved in tetrahydrofuran 100 mL and hydrogenated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours at 4.0 bar hydrogen pressure in the presence of $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 2.0 g ). The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was further diluted with toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was acidified to pH 1.0 with hydrochloric acid, concentrated under
reduced pressure and the residue was crystallized by adding isopropyl alcohol to yield ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{c}^{\prime}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ as white crystalline solids.

General method for the preparation of (1R,2R)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane-1ol hydrochloride 1c, 1e (Scheme 6). To a solution of (1S,2R)-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol 11c or 11e ( 0.08 mol ) in toluene ( 50 mL ) was added acetic anhydride $(0.24 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The mixture was further maintained at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Toluene and excess acetic anhydride were distilled under reduced pressure. To the concentrated residual mass, water 100 mL and sulfuric acid ( 0.16 mol ) were added and mixture was heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and maintained at same temperature for the duration of 90 min . The resulting reaction mixture was neutralized and extracted with toluene. The toluene layer was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane-1ol 18c or 18e as a syrupy mass. $(1 R, 2 R)$-1-alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropan-1-ol was treated with trifluoroacetic anhydride in tetrahydrofuran medium to afford $(1 R, 2 R)$-trifluoroacetyl derivative $19 \mathbf{c}$ or 19e. This on further reduction with palladium on carbon yielded $(1 R, 2 R)-1-$ alkyl-1-phenyl-2-methylaminopropane hydrochloride $\mathbf{1 c}$ or $\mathbf{1 e}$.
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