Photochemistry of clobetasol propionate, a steroidal anti- inflammatory drug

Jawaid Iqbal*, Anamika Gupta, and Adil Husain

Organic Chemistry Section, Department of Chemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002 (U.P) India E-mail: jawaid.iqbal0@lycos.com

Abstract

The photochemistry of the anti-inflammatory drug clobetasol propionate **1** was studied in aerobic as well as in anaerobic condition with different irradiation wavelengths (254 nm and 310 nm) in acetonitrile and 2-propanol. Photoproducts obtained were isolated and characterized on the basis of IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The products were: 21-chloro-9-fluoro-11-hydroxy-16-methyl-17(1-oxopropoxy)-1,5-cyclopregn-3-ene-2,20-dione **2** (254 nm), 21-chloro-9-fluoro-11-hydroxy-16-methyl-17(1-oxopropoxy)-18,20-cyclopregn-1,4-diene-3-one **3** (310 nm/2-propanol, argon), 9-fluoro-17-hydroperoxy-16-methyl-17(1-oxopropoxy)androsta-1,4-diene-3-one **4** (310 nm/O₂/2-propanol). Cyclohexadienone moiety in ring A and keto group at C₁₇ were found to be deeply modified by U.V light and therefore loss of biological activity during storage and *in vivo* cannot be ruled out.

Keywords: Photochemistry, clobetasol propionate, anti-inflammatory drug

Introduction

Polyfunctional molecules in which different photochemically reactive chromophores are connected by a rigid hydrocarbon framework are a subject of fascinating photochemistry.¹⁻³ The intramolecular energy transfer (both singlet-singlet and triplet-triplet) may occur from an 'antenna' group to other chromophore leading to chemistry different from that observed by direct excitation of that chromophore.⁴⁻⁷ In the photochemistry of such multichromophoric molecules the evaluation of interaction between the chromophores, the mode and extent of local reaction at any chromophore after electronic excitation and possible role of energy transfer are highly significant for mechanistic evaluation.

Morrison established through a series of elegant papers⁴⁻⁷ that intramolecular energy transfer (both singlet-singlet and triplet-triplet) occurred from the phenyl 'antenna' to C_{17} keto group by the way of through-bond mechanism. This has led to a different photochemistry observed by the direct excitation of ketone chromophore. Albini et al.^{8, 9} have demonstrated non-

communicating reaction paths in pregna-1, 4-diene-3, 20-dione. Since many steroidal drugs are commonly used and several reports on their phototoxic effects have been reported, ¹⁰⁻¹³ it was of interest to extend this subject of study of the competition between chemical reactions of the separated excited moieties incorporated in the rigid skeleton of the steroids. It was expected that such photochemical mechanisms might have relevance for the mechanism of phototoxicity.

Glucocorticosteroids are natural hormones with a steroidal structure derived from 5α -pregnane. These steroidal hormones with powerful anti-inflammatory effects are secreted by the cortex of adrenal gland. Semisynthetic derivatives of these hormones are widely used as drugs to treat inflammatory illnesses, including arthritis, asthma and many of them are effective by topical use in dermatomes and other dermatological diseases.

Clobetasol propionate (1) is a dihalogenated highly potent glucocorticoid. It is an analog of prednisolone. It is used only topically on the skin and its effects are limited to the local antiinflammatory activity. Clobetasol is indicated for the treatment of psoriasis,¹⁴ dry hyperkeratotic dermatoses, initial control of all forms of hyperacute eczema, chronic hyperkeratotic eczema, contact dermatitis, atopic dermatitis, lichen planus associated with severe pruritis, discoid lumps erythema and granulomatous disorders.^{15,16}

Clobetasol propionate has a characteristics UV absorption at λ_{max} (MeOH) 237 nm (ϵ =15000). It is very interesting from photochemical point of view because it bears two spatially separated chromophores i.e. cyclohexadienone moiety in ring A and carbonyl group at C₂₀. A number of photochemical studies have been carried out on these steroidal ketones, both in solution and in solid state.¹⁷⁻²³ The photochemistry of cross-conjugated cyclohexadienone has been intensively studied because of their facile and fascinatingly complex photochemical reactions. Williams et al.²⁴ carried out photolysis of prednisolone at 254 nm and observed that the only photoprocess occurring in dioxane solution was the "lumiketone" rearrangement of the cyclohexadienone moiety. With this interest herein we have investigated the photochemistry of clobetasol under different combinations of solvents and irradiation wavelengths.

Results and Discussion

When clobetasol was irradiated at 254 nm in argon flushed acetonitrile or in oxygen saturated solution it gave compound 2 as product. The photoreactions of 1 in 2-propanol at 254 nm followed a similar course of reaction under aerobic as well as anaerobic conditions. Irradiation at 310 nm either in argon saturated acetonitrile or 2-propanol gave product 2 along with a new compound 3. At the same irradiation wavelength (310 nm) saturation of the solution with oxygen affected the product distribution: in 2-propanol 3 was not formed and hydroperoxide 4 was obtained as main product along with 2. In acetonitrile a complex mixture of products was obtained (Scheme 1). The comparative yields of the photoproducts (2, 3 and 4) under different reaction conditions are given in Table 1.

Solvent conditions	Wavelengths	Photoproduct(s)	Yields of the products $(%)^*$		
			(/0)		
CH ₃ CN/Argon	254 nm	2	47%		
CH ₃ CN/Argon	310 nm	3 + 2	3 (39%); 2 (trace on		
			TLC)		
CH ₃ CN/Oxygen	254 nm	2	48%		
CH ₃ CN/Oxygen	310 nm	A complex mixture			
		of products			
2-Propanol/Argon	254 nm	2	52 %		
2-Propanol/Argon	310 nm	3 + 2	3 (37%); 2 (15 %)		
2-Propanol/Oxygen	254	2	56%		
2-Propanol/Oxygen	310	4 + 2	4 (43%); 2 (18%)		

Table 1. Yields of the reaction products, in photochemical reaction of (1) under different reaction conditions

*Yields of the products after isolation and purification.



Scheme 1

These results can be rationalized on the basis of a different mechanism of the photochemical reaction of the two-separated chromophores present in this drug. At 254 nm, cross-conjugated ketone absorbs predominantly or exclusively, which causes the well-known lumiketone rearrangement^{25, 26} of this chromophore and leads to the formation of compound **2**

(Scheme 2). The rearrangement leading to **2** is a concerted process and therefore, not affected by the solvent medium.



Scheme 2

On the contrary, at 310 nm, where isolated ketone at C_{20} absorbs a large fraction of light, compound **3** was obtained as the product, which arises via hydrogen atom abstraction from the close lying 18-methyl group followed by cyclization (Scheme 3). In oxygen saturated solution trapping of alkyl radicals by oxygen is quite efficient to yield peroxy radicals. This peroxy radical abstracts hydrogen from hydrogen donating solvent (2-propanol) and gives the isolated hydroperoxy derivative **4** (Scheme 4).



Scheme 3



Scheme 4

All the products obtained were characterized on the basis of the following spectral evidence. The IR spectrum of **2** showed absorption bands at 1350, 1161, 1030 (cyclopropyl), 1570 (C=C), 1675 (C=O). In the NMR spectrum of **2**, signals due to the rings B, C and D were found to be unaffected while signals due to ring A were strongly modified since only two of the olefinic CH were conserved and third was substituted by a sp³ carbon. Two doublets centered at δ 6.66 and 5.68 with J=6.2 Hz in the ¹H-NMR spectrum and the IR band values indicated the presence of an α , β -unsaturated ketone in ring A. A proton singlet at δ 1.06 and ¹³C-NMR signals at 40.4, 25.2 and 27.1 indicated a cyclopropyl carbonyl system in ring A. Proof of the stereochemistry came from a comparison of its circular dichroism spectra with those of other lumiketones that showed positive and negative cotton effects of similar magnitude and position to those reported in litrature^{27,28} (Table 2).

Spectroscopic analysis of **3**, particularly NMR data indicated that the steroidal skeleton was unaffected but both the C₂₀ ketone and 18-methyl signals were missing. The presence of three deshielded olefinic protons at δ 7.34 (d, J=9 Hz, IH), 6.30 (d, J =9 Hz, 1H) and 6.09 (s, 1H) confirmed that the dienone system was intact, and on the basis of chemical shifts and spin-spin coupling constants these signals were assigned to the C-2, C-1 and C-4 protons respectively. Moreover, signals due to the rings B, C and D were also unaffected. These data along with the appearance of a new methylene carbon and the IR absorption bands at 3410 (OH), 1680 (α , β -unsaturated C=O), 1630, 1615 (C=C) cm⁻¹, supported the assigned structure.

Compd.	$\lambda_{\max} (\Delta \epsilon)$	$\lambda_{\max} (\Delta \epsilon)$	Crossover λ	$\lambda_{\max} (\Delta \epsilon)$	Crossover λ	$\lambda_{\max} (\Delta \epsilon)$
2		345 (-4.08)	315	280 (+12.22)	253	225 (-11.70)
Related	355 (-3.71)	344.5 (-3.77)	311	275 (+10.3)	252	Short
lumiproducts ^a						wavelength
						-ve CD

Table 2. Circular dichroism spectra of **2** and other related lumiproducts

^asee refs. [27, 28]

The NMR spectra of **4** suggested that the structural features in the rings A, B and C were again conserved, while one of the side chains at C_{17} had been lost. In addition a strongly deshielded signal at δ 8.7 (brs, exch., 1H) in the ¹H-NMR and a new signal at δ 124.8 (C-17) in ¹³C-NMR suggested the presence of a hydroperoxy group in **4**. The spectroscopic indications (in the experimental section) allowed assignment of the hydroperoxide structure to **4**.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. Pure clobetasol was obtained from ZYG Pharma Pvt. Ltd., (India). The solvents used in the photoreactions were of spectroscopic grade. Irradiations at 254 nm were

carried out in an immersion well type photoreactor (quartz) equipped with 20 W low-pressure mercury arc lamp. For irradiations at 310 nm the solutions were irradiated with 15 W phosphor coated lamps. IR spectra were recorded in KBr discs on a Perkin Elmer model spectrum RX1. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-300 spectrometer using SiMe₄ as internal standard and CDCl₃ as solvent. Circular dichroism spectra were measured on a Jasco-J 41A spectropolarimeter. High-resolution mass spectra were determined with a VG-ZAB-BEQ9 spectrometer at 70 eV ionization voltages. Merck silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates were used for analytical TLC; column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh).

Photoirradiation procedure. A solution of clobetasol (in acetonitrile or 2-propanol) was stirred and flushed with argon or oxygen (as desired) for 1 h before irradiation and was kept bubbling during the irradiations. The course of reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography on pre-coated silica gel TLC plates using chloroform- acetone (9:1) mixture. After the completion of reaction (when desired conversions have reached) the solvent was removed in a rotary evaporator and products were purified by silica gel column chromatography.

Irradiation of clobetasol in argon- saturated acetonitrile. A solution of **1** (234 mg, 0.5 mmol) in argon-saturated acetonitrile (400 ml) was irradiated for 2.5 h at 254 nm. After following the steps described in the photoirradiation procedure, **2** (110 mg) was obtained as the product.

21-Chloro-9-fluoro-11-hydroxy-16-methyl-17(1-oxopropoxy)-1, 5-cyclopregn-3-ene-2, 20-dione (2). Yield: 110 mg (47%); UV λ_{max} (MeOH) 251 nm ;IR (KBr) 3400, 1675 (C=O), 1570 (C=C), 1350, 1161, 1030 cm⁻¹ (cyclopropyl); ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 6.66 (d,1H, J=6.2 Hz, H-4), 5.68 (d, 1H, J=6.2 Hz, H-3), 4.42 (s, 2H, H-21), 4.32 (brs, exch., OH), 2.53 (m, 1H, H-16), 3.43 (dd, 1H, J=11,5 Hz, H-11), 2.29 (m, 2H, H-23), 1.74 (m, 1H, H-8), 1.5-1.7 (m, 6H), 1.42 (dd, 1H, J=11, 2 Hz, H-14), 1.32 (m, 2H, H-15), 1.21 (6H, 2×CH₃), 1.11 (6H, 2×CH₃) 1.06 (s, 1H, H-1); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 201.3 (C-20), 192.5 (C-2), 174.4 (C-22), 159.7 (C-4), 130.8 (C-3), 114.1 (C-9), 93.9 (C-17), 71.1 (C-11), 41.3 (C-21), 40.4 (C-1), 39.3 (C-14), 38.1 (C-8), 37.4 (C-6), 37.0 (C-13), 32.9 (C-12), 31.8 (C-15), 31.2 (C-16), 27.8 (C-23), 27.1 (C-10), 25.2 (C-5), 18.7 (C-7), 14.6 (C-18) 9.4 (C-19); HRMS calcd. for (M⁺) C₂₅H₃₂O₅ClF 466.9771, found 466.9780.

A solution of **1** (234 mg, 0.5 mM) in argon-saturated acetonitrile (400 ml) was irradiated for 2 h at 310 nm. After following the steps described in general photoirradiation procedure, **3** (90 mg) was obtained as main product with a trace amount of **2**, as detected on TLC.

21-Chloro-9-fluoro-11-hydroxy-16-methyl-17(1-oxopropoxy)-18,20-cyclopregn-1,4-diene-3-one (3). Yield: 90 mg (39%); UV λ_{max} (MeOH) 240 nm ; IR (KBr) 3410,1680, 1630, 1615; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 7.34 (d, J=9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 6.28 (d, J=9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 6.09 (s, 1H, H-4), 3.77 (s, 2H, H-21), 3.51 (brs, exch., OH), 3.34 (m, 1H, H-11), 2.37 (m, 1H, H-16), 2.21 (m, 2H, H-22), 2.11 (s, 2H, H-18), 2.09 (d, 2H, H-6), 1.74 (m, 1H, H-8), 1.59 (m, 2H, H-15), 1.52 (m, 2H, H-7), 1.36 (3H, CH₃), 1.14 (3H, CH₃), 1.06 (3H, CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 185.8 (C-3), 173.4 (COOR), 167.2 (C-5), 154.7 (C-1), 129.2 (C-2), 125.4 (C-4), 102.2 (C-17), 99.8 (C-9), 98.1 (C-20), 72.2 (C-11), 55.3 (C-10), 46.9 (C-21), 42.1 (C-14), 39.4 (C-8), 37.0 (C-13), 35.2 (C-

12), 34.9 (C-18), 33.6 (C-6), 28.7 (C-16), 25.8 (C-7), 19.2 (CH₃), 17.4 (CH₃), 16.1 (CH₃); HRMS calcd. for $(M^+) C_{25}H_{32}O_5ClF$ 466.9771, found 466.9765.

Irradiation of clobetasol in oxygen saturated acetonitrile. A solution of **1** (234 mg, 0.5 mM) in oxygen-saturated acetonitrile (400 ml) was irradiated for 2.5 h at 254 nm and 310 nm. After following the steps described in the general photoirradiation procedure, compound **2** (112 mg, 48%) was obtained as the product at 254 nm; whereas a complex mixture of the products was obtained at 310 nm.

Irradiation of clobetasol in argon-saturated 2-propanol. A solution of **1** (234 mg, 0.5 mM) in argon-saturated 2-propanol (400 ml) was irradiated for 2 h at 254 nm and at 310 nm. After following the steps described in the general photoirradiation procedure, compound **2** (120 mg, 52%) was obtained as the product at 254 nm. Whereas at 310 nm both the compounds **2** (35 mg, 15%) and **3** (86 mg, 37%) were obtained as the products.

Irradiation of clobetasol in oxygen-saturated 2-propanol. A solution of **1** (234 mg, 0.5 mM) in oxygen-saturated 2-propanol (400 ml) was irradiated for 2.5 h at 254 nm and 310 nm. After following the steps described in the general photoirradiation procedure, compound **2** (130 mg, 56%) was obtained as the product at 254 nm. At 310 nm **2** (42 mg, 18%) and **4** (100 mg, 43%) were obtained as the products.

9-Fluoro-17-hydroperoxy-16-methyl-17(1-oxopropoxy) androsta-1,4-diene-3-one (4). Yield: 100 mg (43%); UV λ_{max} (MeOH) 241 nm IR (KBr) 3410, 1660, 1615, 1610; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 8.7 (brs, exch., OOH), 7.14 (d, 1H, J=9 Hz, H-2), 6.30 (d, J=9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 6.09 (s, 1H, H-4), 3.43 (m, 1H, H-11), 2.29 ((m, 2H, -OOCCH₂CH₃), 2.35 (m, 1H, H-16), 2.1 (brs, exch., OH), 1.5-1.7 (m, 5H, H-8, H-12, H-15), 1.36 (s, 3H, H-19), 1.16 (s, 3H, H-18), 2.0 (m, 2H, H-6), 1.52 (m, 2H, H-7), 1.40 (s, 1H, H-14), 1.11 (3H, CH₃), 1.02 (3H, CH₃); ¹³C-NMR 185.8 (C-3), 173.1(-OCOCH₂CH₃), 168.3 (C-5), 155.4 (C-1), 128.4 (C-2), 124.2 (C-4), 100.3(C-9), 70.8 (C-11), 54.7 (C-10), 43.6 (C-16), 39.1 (C-8), 37.6 (C-14), 33.0 (C-6), 33.7 (C-13), 30.3 (C-12), 29.6 (C-15), 28.2 (-OCO<u>C</u>H₂CH₃), 25.2 (C-7), 24.8 (C-17), 18.9 (C-19), 11.3 (C-18), 9.4 (-OCOCH₂<u>C</u>H₃); HRMS calcd. for (M⁺) C₂₃H₃₁O₆F 422.6939, found 422.6930.

References

- 1. Karoon, J.; Oliver, A. M.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Verhoven, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4868.
- 2. Closs, G. L.; Johnson, M. D.; Miller, J. R.; Piotrowiak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 3751.
- 3. Morrison, H. Rev. Chem. Intermed. 1987, 8, 125.
- 4. Wu, Z. Z.; Nash, J.; Morrison, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6640.
- 5. Wu, Z. Z.; Morrison, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4119.
- 6. Morrison, H.; Pallmer, M.; Loeschen, R.; Pandey, R.; Muthuramu, K.; Maxwell, B., *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 4676.

- 7. Wu, Z. Z.; Morrison, H. Photochem. Photobiol. 1989, 50, 525.
- 8. Ricci, A.; Fasani, E.; Mella, M.; Albini, A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8086.
- 9. Ricci, A.; Fasani, E.; Mella, M.; Albini, A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4361.
- 10. Suzuki, T.; Kato, T.; Kitagaki, T.; Ono, M.; Shirakawa, K.; Nagata, M.; Konishi, R. J. *Toxicol. Sci.* **1996**, *21*, 475.
- 11. Keknes, A.; Jahn, P.; Lange, L. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 1993, 28, 786.
- 12. Uchiyama, H.; Tanaka, T.; Uehara, N.; Nakamura, M.; Tsuji, M.; Shinomiya, M.; Tanaka, H. J. Toxicol. Sci. 1992, 17, 283.
- 13. Albini, A.; Fasani, E., *DRUGS: Photochemistry and Photostability*, Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 1998.
- 14. Yang, C. A.; William, S. I. R.; MacFarlane I. A. British J. Clin. Pract. 1991, 45, 61.
- 15. Martindale, *The Extra Pharmacopoeia*, 31st Edn, Royal Pharmaceutical Society: London, 1996; pp 1093.
- 16. Dollerey, C. Therapeutic Drugs, 2nd Edn.; Churchi 11 Livingstone: London, 1999, pp 266.
- 17. Reisch, J.; Enkel, G.; Ekiz-Guecer, N.; Nolte, G. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 63.
- 18. Reisch, J.; Topaloglu, Y.; Henkel, G. Acta Pharm. Tech. 1986, 32, 115.
- 19. Ogata, M.; Noro, Y.; Yamada, M.; Tahara, T.; Nishimma, T. J.Pharm. Sci. 1998, 87, 91.
- 20. Suzuki, T.; Kato, T.; Kitagaki, T.; Ono, M.; Shirakawa, K.; Nagata, M.; Konishi, R. *J. Toxicol. Sci.* **1962**, *2*, 475.
- 21. Takacs, M.; Ekiz-Guecer, N.; Reisch, J.; Gergely-zobin, A. Pharm. Acta Helv. 1991, 66, 137.
- 22. Ekiz-Guecer, N.; Reisch, J.; Nolte, G. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 1991, 37, 234.
- 23. Thoma, K.; Kerker, R.; Weissbach, C. Pharm. Ind. 1987, 49, 961.
- 24. Williams, J. R.; Moore, R. H.; Li, R.; Weeks, C. M. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2324.
- 25. Schaffner, K.; Demuth, M. In *Rearrangements in Ground State and Excited State* De Mayo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1980; Vol. 3, pp 281.
- Caine, D. In CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology, Horspool, W. M.; Song, P. S. Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1995, pp 701.
- 27. Feri, J.; Ganter, C.; Kagi, D.; Kocsis, K.; Miljkovic, M.; Siewinski, A.; Wenger, R.; Schaffner, K.; Jeger, O. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1966**, *49*, 1049.
- 28. Schaffner, K.; Snatzke, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1965, 48, 347.